

Plant Archives

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2024.v24.no.2.302

MULTIVARIATE BIPLOT ANALYSIS OF EXOTIC BARLEY GENOTYPES BASED ON AGRO-MORPHOLOGICAL VARIABLES

Yogender Kumar*, Deepak Kaushik, Harsh Chaurasia and Sachin

Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, C.C.S. Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125004 (Haryana), India. * Corresponding author E-mail: *yogenderkgulia@gmail.com* (Date of Receiving-18-07-2024; Date of Acceptance-03-09-2024)

A panel of 141 barley genotypes was used for the current multivariate biplot analysis in order to quantify the genetic diversity for their meaningful utilization in barley improvement. The experimental material was evaluated in augmented design during crop season 2023-24 at Research Farm, CCS HAU, Hisar with a plot size of 1.5 m² occupied by each genotype. The results revealed high coefficient of variation for all the studied variables except of days to heading and maturity that explained low magnitude of variability. The association study among the variables exhibited significant positive correlation of grain yield with plant height, number of grains per spike, biological yield and harvest index. Principal Component Analysis identified first four components with more than one eigen value that cumulatively accounted for 72.637 percent of the total variation. The biplot analysis also displayed the positive association of grain yield with number of grains **ABSTRACT** per spike, plant height, biological yield and harvest index as indicated by the acute angles between their vectors. The cluster analysis classified the genotypes into eight clusters with highest genetic distance among the genotypes of cluster VI. The genotypic clustering also illustrated maximum genetic distance between cluster III and VIII. Among all, cluster V characterized by higher grain yield and harvest index with long spikes. Furthermore, the biplot graph identified barley genotypes G 30, G 108, G 77 and G 71 as most promising and diverse genotypes for grain yield and G 77, G 50, G 97 and G 32 for biological yield. Hence, the study revealed the existence of enough genetic diversity among the genotypes tested that might be exploited for further genetic improvement of economic traits of barley.

Key words: Barley, Biplot analysis, Correlation, Clustering, PCA, Agro-morphological variables

Introduction

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is a member of cereals and is primarily being utilized for livestock feed, human consumption and for malting purposes. In India, barley occupied an area and production of 0.62 million hectare and 1.69 million tonnes grain, respectively with productivity of 27.33 q/ha. Barley was cultivated on 15,300 hectares with a production of 53,300 tons in Haryana state that ranked second in average productivity (34.86 q/ha) after Punjab (36.54 q/ha) during 2022-23 (ICAR-IIWBR, 2023). This crop has given less importance for being many reasons, but yet there is an opportunity to obtain high yielding cultivars for competing with other crops. Breeding for yield enhancement through hybridization program is reliant on the presence of genetic diversity. The assessment of genetic diversity may serve as the reservoir of many novel traits conferring tolerance to different biotic and abiotic stresses. The planned utilization of genetically diverse genotypes permits suitable heterotic parental combinations in the segregating generations upon crossing. The diversity studies mainly rely on morphological, biochemical and molecular observations. Among them, morphological characterizations are the strongest determinants of the agronomic value of plants as these evaluations are direct, easy and do not require expensive technology (Bhandari *et al.*, 2017). Genetic improvement of important agro-morphological traits of barley needs to be investigated for yield gain by exploring the inherent variability of these traits, their mutual association and their contribution towards diversity.

Multivariate analysis referred to evaluation of such data set where more than two variables are considered together. It facilitates the graphical representation of the underlying latent factors and interface between individual samples and variables. Principal component and cluster analysis are the multivariate techniques frequently used to group and characterize genotypes. The cluster analysis used to partition a set of data into clusters, where similar objects contained within the cluster, while dissimilar objects scattered in other clusters (Han et al., 2012). PCA used to reduce the dimensionality of large data sets into a smaller one that still contains most of the information in the large set (Jaadi, 2019). Biplot analysis is a multivariate analytical approach that graphically displays the 2-way data and allows visualization of the interrelations among genotypes and variables. Multivariate biplot analysis has been recommended for genetic diversity investigation in many crops.

Therefore, the present study was designed and conducted with a set of 141 barley genotypes employing multivariate biplot analysis aimed to identify component traits and to estimate the magnitude of genetic divergence for their utilization in future breeding programs.

Materials and Methods

A field trial was conducted during 2023-24 crop season at Research Farm, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisarwith a set of 140 exotic barley genotypes along with one indigenous barley variety DWRB 137. This exotic barley material was received from ICARDA through Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research, Karnal. The experimental material under study comprised of 70 two-rowed and 71 six-rowed barley including three check varieties viz., Rihane-03, V Morales and DWRB 137. Table 1 contained list of barley genotypes used in the current study along with codes assigned to them. Augmented Design was used to evaluate the experimental material. Each genotype was planted in paired rows of 2.5 m length spaced at 30 cm apart. The experimental material was sown on 12th November, 2023 and package of practices recommended were accordingly followed to raise the crop.

A total of tenagro-morphological traits *viz.*, days to heading, days to maturity, plant height (cm), number of effective tillers per meter row, spike length (cm), number of grains per spike, 1000- grain weight (g), biological yield per plot (g), harvest index (%) and grain yield per plot (g) were recorded at appropriate stages of the crop growth. R software version 4.4.1 was used for statistical analysis of the recorded data.

Results and Discussion

The descriptive statistics of ten studied agro-

 Table 1:
 List and clustering profile of exotic barley genotypes used in the study.

	<u> </u>	DT	G
Code	Genotypes	<u>KI</u>	C
GI	IBON-HI-24-1	2	l
G2	IBON-HI-24-2	2	l
G3	IBON-HI-24-3	2	
G4	IBON-HI-24-4	2	l
G5	IBON-HI-24-5	2	I
G6	IBON-HI-24-6	2	I
G7	IBON-HI-24-7	2	I
G8	IBON-HI-24-8	2	I
G9	IBON-HI-24-9	2	I
G10	IBON-HI-24-10	2	I
G11	IBON-HI-24-11	2	I
G12	IBON-HI-24-12	2	Ш
G13	IBON-HI-24-13	2	IV
G14	IBON-HI-24-14	2	Ш
G15	IBON-HI-24-15	2	IV
G16	IBON-HI-24-16	2	III
G17	IBON-HI-24-17	2	Ш
G18	IBON-HI-24-18	2	Ш
G19	IBON-HI-24-19	2	V
G20	IBON-HI-24-20	6	VI
G21	IBON-HI-24-21	6	VII
G22	IBON-HI-24-22	6	VII
G23	IBON-HI-24-23	6	VI
G24	IBON-HI-24-24	2	IV
G25	IBON-HI-24-25	6	П
G26	IBON-HI-24-26	6	I
G27	IBON-HI-24-27	6	I
G28	IBON-HI-24-28	6	I
G29	IBON-HI-24-29	6	П
G30	IBON-HI-24-30	6	I
G31	IBON-HI-24-31	6	П
G32	IBON-HI-24-32	6	VIII
G33	IBON-HI-24-33	6	I
G34	IBON-HI-24-34	6	Π
G35	IBON-HI-24-35	6	I
G36	IBON-HI-24-36	6	Π
G37	IBON-HI-24-37	6	I
G38	IBON-HI-24-38	2	V
G 39	IBON-HI-24-39	6	VII
G40	IBON-HI-24-40	2	I
G41	IBON-HI-24-41	2	ĪV
G42	IBON-HI-24-42	6	VIII
G43	IBON-HI-24-43	6	VI
G44	IBON-HI-24-44	6	VI VI
G45	IBON-HI-24-45	2	V
G46	IBON-HI-24-45	6	VI
G47	IBON-HI-24-40	2	Π T
G48	IBON-HI-74-48	2	N N
0.10			1 1

Continue Table 1...

Yogender Kumar et al.

G49	IBON-HI-24-49	2	IV
G50	IBON-HI-24-50	6	VIII
G51	IBON-HI-24-51	2	III
G52	IBON-HI-24-52	6	VI
G53	IBON-HI-24-53	6	П
G54	IBON-HI-24-54	2	V
G55	IBON-HI-24-55	2	Ι
G56	IBON-HI-24-56	2	V
G57	IBON-HI-24-57	6	VII
G58	IBON-HI-24-58	6	VI
G59	IBON-HI-24-59	6	П
G60	IBON-HI-24-60	2	IV
G61	IBON-HI-24-61	6	П
G62	IBON-HI-24-62	2	П
G63	IBON-HI-24-63	2	IV
G64	IBON-HI-24-64	6	VII
G65	IBON-HI-24-65	6	VII
G66	IBON-HI-24-66	2	V
G67	IBON-HI-24-67	2	IV
G68	IBON-HI-24-68	6	VII
G69	IBON-HI-24-69	6	VIII
G70	IBON-HI-24-70	2	IV
G71	IBON-HI-24-71	6	VII
G72	IBON-HI-24-72	2	VII
G73	IBON-HI-24-73	6	
G74	IBON-HI-24-74	6	
G75	IBON-HI-24-75	6	П
G76	IBON-HI-24-76	6	Π
G70 G77	IBON-HI-24-77	2	
G78	IBON-HI-24-78	2	
G79	IBON-HI-24-79	6	
G80	IBON-HI-24-80	6	
G 81	IBON-HI-24-80	2	VIII
G82	IBON HI 24 82	6	т
G83	IBON-HI-24-82	6	п
G84	IBON-HI-24-84	6	
G85	IBON-HI-24-85	2	I
G86	IBON-HI-24-86	2	V
G87	IBON-HI-24-87	2	ĪV
G 88	IBON-HI-24-88	2	V
G 89	IBON-HI-24-80	2	V
G90	IBON HI 24 90	2	v TV
G 91	IBON_HI_24-90	2	
GO	IBON_HI_27/ 02	6	
692	IBON_HI_27/ 03	2	T I
G0/	IBON HI 24 04	6	л Л
G05	IBON HI 24 05	2	
G06	IBON HI 24 06	6	
G07	IBON HI 24 07	2	
C-09	IDOIN-ПІ-24-У/ IRON ЦІ 24 00	2	
C-00	IDON-III-24-90	2	<u>т</u> пл
099	IDUN-HI-24-99	2	1V

G100	IBON-HI-24-100	6	VII
G101	IBON-HI-24-101	6	III
G102	IBON-HI-24-102	2	VI
G103	IBON-HI-24-103	2	IV
G104	IBON-HI-24-104	6	VI
G105	IBON-HI-24-105	2	IV
G106	IBON-HI-24-106	2	IV
G107	IBON-HI-24-107	6	VII
G108	IBON-HI-24-108	6	VII
G109	IBON-HI-24-109	2	III
G110	IBON-HI-24-110	6	VIII
G111	IBON-HI-24-111	6	VII
G112	IBON-HI-24-112	6	VII
G113	IBON-HI-24-113	6	VII
G114	IBON-HI-24-114	2	III
G115	IBON-HI-24-115	2	IV
G116	IBON-HI-24-116	2	IV
G117	IBON-HI-24-117	6	VII
G118	IBON-HI-24-118	2	IV
G119	IBON-HI-24-119	2	III
G120	IBON-HI-24-120	6	VI
G121	IBON-HI-24-121	6	VI
G122	IBON-HI-24-122	6	VII
G123	IBON-HI-24-123	6	VI
G124	IBON-HI-24-124	6	VI
G125	IBON-HI-24-125	2	IV
G126	IBON-HI-24-126	2	Ш
G127	IBON-HI-24-127	6	VII
G128	IBON-HI-24-128	6	VI
G129	IBON-HI-24-129	6	VII
G130	IBON-HI-24-130	6	VI
G131	IBON-HI-24-131	2	Ι
G132	IBON-HI-24-132	6	VI
G133	IBON-HI-24-133	2	III
G134	IBON-HI-24-134	6	VI
G135	IBON-HI-24-135	2	IV
G136	IBON-HI-24-136	2	III
G137	IBON-HI-24-137	2	Ι
G138	IBON-HI-24-138	6	VI
G139	Rihane-03	6	П
G140	V Morales	6	VII
G141	DWRB 137	6	VII

RT: Row Type; C: Cluster number

morphological variables has been presented in Table 2. The results revealed enough genetic variability among the material evaluated as exhibited by wide range and higher coefficient of variation. The variables *viz.*, biological yield, grain yield, number of tillers per meter row, number of grains per spike and plant height showed wider range. Similarly, number of grains per spike, harvest index, grain yield, number of tillers per meter row, biological yield and 1000-grain weight were recorded with

	DH	DM	TM	PH	SL	GS	TGW	GY	BY	H
Mean	93.47	132.29	120.55	98.14	8.64	50.48	39.41	301.85	1371.93	23.14
S.E. (m)	0.34	0.30	3.18	0.89	0.11	1.86	0.67	8.02	32.94	0.65
Min	84.00	123.00	60.00	71.00	6.20	23.00	20.00	110.00	280.00	8.77
Max	106.00	140.00	226.00	120.00	11.70	88.00	59.80	550.00	2800.00	53.09
Range	22.00	17.00	166.00	49.00	5.50	65.00	39.80	440.00	2520.00	44.32
SD	4.02	3.52	37.73	10.60	1.25	22.06	7.96	95.21	391.14	7.72
Var	16.19	12.37	1423.18	112.43	1.56	486.67	63.31	9064.43	152987.05	59.63
CV(%)	4.31	2.66	31.30	10.80	14.43	43.70	20.19	31.54	28.51	33.37
DH: Days to heading; DM: Days to maturity; TM: Number of effective tillers per meter row; PH: Plant height; SL: Spike length;										

GS: Number of grains per spike; TGW: 1000- grain weight: BY: Biological yield per plot; HI: Harvest index; GY: Grain yield per plot; CV: Coefficient of variation

 Table 2:
 Descriptive statistics of variables in barley.

high coefficient of variation. Days to maturity followed days to heading revealed low genetic variability. These findings are in congruence with earlier reports by Kaur *et al.*, (2018).

The association analysis among the variables is depicted in Table 3. Both positive and negative trends were observed for correlation between the variables studied. The grain yield exhibited significant positive association with plant height, number of grains per spike, biological yield and harvest index. Some researchers also reported significant positive correlation of grain yield with biological yield per plant, harvest index and number of grains per spike (Ashok et al., 2024); and plant height (Kaur et al., 2018; Manhas and Kashyap, 2023). The positive and significant correlation were also observed for days to heading with days to maturity; number of tillers per meter row and plant height with spike length and biological yield; spike length with 1000-grain weight and biological yield; and number of grains per spike with harvest index. Some findings were in consonance with these results *i.e.* significant positive association of days to heading with days to maturity (Kaur et al., 2016; Aklilu et al., 2020); biological yield with number of effective tillers per plant and plant height (Hailu et al., 2016); biological yield with spike length (Abdullah et al., 2018); 1000-grain weight with spike length (Ashok et al., 2024).

Likewise, significant negative correlation was found for number of tillers per meter row with days to maturity and number of grains per spike; spike length with number of grains per spike; 1000-grain weightwith number of grains per spike; and biological yield with harvest index. These results were also corroborated for days to maturity with number of effective tillers per plant (Hailu *et al.*, 2016); number of grains per spike with number of effective tillers per plant (Kumar and Sehrawat, 2021); number of grains per spike with spike length and 1000-grain weight (Hailu *et al.*, 2016); and biological yield with harvest index (Ashok *et al.*, 2024).

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is a data reduction technique that reduced total number of variables into fewer ones that contribute to the maximum percentage of total variation. Additionally, PCA represents the association between all variables at once, is better criterion over correlation coefficient for assorting promising genotypes in different environments. PCA based on variable under study as depicted in Table 4 revealed that the first four components with eigen value > 1.00 accounted for about 72.637 percent of the total variation. The remaining PCs with an eigen value of < 1 were ignored due to their lesser contribution towards total variability. The first PC accounted for 22.742 percent of the total variance. Other PCs *i.e.* second, third and fourth explained 20.462, 15.652, 13.781 percent of the total

Variables	DM	TM	PH	SL	GS	TGW	GY	BY	HI
DH	0.713***	-0.015	-0.124	-0.012	-0.134	0.039	-0.154	-0.088	-0.069
DM		-0.18*	-0.143	-0.039	0.010	-0.026	-0.049	-0.033	-0.020
IM			0.149	0.221**	-0.49***	0.091	0.036	0.243**	-0.116
PH				0.32***	-0.004	0.109	0.210*	0.405***	-0.132
SL					-0.307***	0.272**	0.051	0.173*	-0.065
GS						-0.549***	0.308***	0.052	0.23**
TGW							-0.131	-0.094	-0.012
GY								0.398***	0.529***
BY									-0.516***
***, **,* significant at 0.001, 0.01 and 0.05 levels, respectively									

 Table 3:
 Association among different variables in barley.

Variables/Components	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4		
Days to heading	0.047	-0.469	-0.427	0.281		
Days to maturity	0.141	-0.400	-0.492	0.298		
Number of effective tillers per meter row	-0.416	0.046	0.056	0.081		
Plant height	-0.311	0.321	-0.207	0.134		
Spike length	-0.394	0.050	0.001	0.319		
No. of grains per spike	0.473	0.319	-0.223	-0.103		
1000- grain weight	-0.338	-0.217	0.291	0.276		
Grain yield per plot	0.107	0.476	-0.142	0.506		
Biological yield per plot	-0.298	0.346	-0.509	-0.110		
Harvest index	0.339	0.133	0.341	0.593		
Eigen value	2.274	2.046	1.565	1.378		
Proportion of total variation (%)	22.742	20.462	15.652	13.781		
Cumulative percentage of variance	22.742	43.204	58.855	72.637		
PC: Principal Component						

 Table 4: Loading of variables with respect to different principal components in barley

variability, respectively. Days to heading and maturity exhibited strong correlation with PC 2 & PC 3, respectively. Number of tillers per meter row, spike length, number of grains per spike and 1000-grain weight showed strong association with PC 1 whereas; plant height had high loading with PC 2. Grain yield and harvest index were highly associated with PC 4, however, biological yield indicated high loading on PC 3. In agreement with this study, Angassa and Mohammed (2021) reported 67.68% of the total variations cumulatively accounted by first two principal components while studied 138 barley accessions using nine quantitative traits. The relative contribution of various traits to the total variability has also been reported by Saroei *et al.*, (2017), Amezrou *et al.*, (2018) and Kaur *et al.*, (2018) in barley.

In biplot analysis, vectors of variables displaying acute angles are positively correlated while, those showing obtuse or straight angles are negatively correlated and the vectors with right angles represents no correlation.



Fig. 1: Biplot analysis of genotypes vis-a-vis variables.



Fig. 2: Dendrogram portraying clustering pattern of 141 barley genotypes (Refer to Table 1 for detail of genotypes).

The biplot was constructed in order to compare the relationship between genotypes and variables employing first two principal components that explained 43.20 percent of the total variation (Fig. 1). The biplot demonstrated positive association of grain yield with number of grains per spike, plant height, biological yield and harvest index as indicated by the acute angles between their vectors. Likewise, obtuse angles of days to heading and maturity, 1000-grain weight, spike length and number of tillers per meter row with grain yield

Clusters	Ι	I	Ш	IV	V	VI	VII	VШ	Genotypes in cluster
Ι	1070.1								16
I	1491.1	1473.5							22
Ш	1967.1	1961.9	1130.5						17
IV	1121.3	1227.1	1713.2	874.9					21
V	1443.4	1390.5	1345.1	1144.9	777.6				10
VI	1794.6	1783.1	1663.8	1537.4	1167.1	1490.1			18
VII	1532.9	1523.7	1466.3	1276.8	934.1	1290.2	1028.2		28
VIII	1624.8	2069.8	2551.7	1707.2	1992.3	2378.7	2115.3	1267.1	9

 Table 5:
 Genetic distances between identified clusters.

Clusters	DH	DM	TM	PH	SL	GS	TGW	GY	BY	H
Ι	90	128	160	106	9.4	28	43.0	290	1629	18.2
I	97	136	104	98	8.3	66	35.4	373	1284	29.8
Ш	94	132	106	93	8.7	33	47.0	200	933	22.9
IV	96	135	143	101	9.3	29	44.6	261	1527	17.3
V	93	132	142	94	9.7	32	45.7	380	1238	31.6
VI	95	133	93	89	7.5	64	33.7	243	1252	20.2
VII	90	130	100	99	8.3	72	34.7	353	1336	27.1
VIII	94	133	154	108	8.6	65	34.5	317	2060	15.1

Table 6: Performance of clusters for the studied variables.

showed negative correlation of these variables with grain yield. Similar kind of biplot approach has also been used by Abdullah et al., (2018) in barley for visualizing the association between variables. The distribution of the genotypes over the quadrants delineated the presence of significant genetic diversity among the studied barley genotypes. The distinctiveness of a genotype from other genotypes is measured by the vector length from their origin to the position of genotypes. The genotypes with long vector length may possess higher or extreme values for one or more variable and consequently their utilization in breeding program may respond heterotically. In addition, the variability explained by different variables can also be seen by the length of vectors pertaining to a particular variable. Long vectors denote the higher magnitude of variability and vice-versa. Similarly, the genotypes occupied position nearer to the origin of biplot performed stable performance compared to other ones for the studied variables.

The cluster analysis was also performed that categorized all the genotypes into eight distinct clusters as illustrated in Table 1. The clustering pattern identified cluster VII as largest one with twenty eight genotypes,

Table 7: Diverse and superior genotypes for different variables.

whereas, cluster VIII being smallest contained nine genotypes. Seventeen genotypes were grouped in cluster III with maximum genetic distance from cluster VIII (Table 5). Similarly, cluster V with ten germplasm deciphered minimum genetic distance from cluster VII. The results also revealed maximum intra-cluster distance for cluster VI with eighteen genotypes, implies the genotypes with relatively more diversity compared to genotypes belonging to other clusters. The results in addition also revealed minimum genetic distance among the genotypes of cluster V. Angassa and Mohammed (2021) also categorized 138 barley accessions into four clusters and reported wide range of diversity among studied barley accessions.

The average performance of genotypes in relation to different variables under study is portrayed in Table 6. The observations indicated the grouping of genotypes in cluster 1 found promising for days to heading, maturity and number of tillers per meter row. The genotypes of cluster III possessed highest 1000-grain weight among all clusters. The genotypes of clusters VI, VII and VIII performed better for plant height, number of grains per spike and biological yield, respectively. Similarly, cluster V characterized by higher grain yield and harvest index

Variables	Diverse and desirable genotypes
Days to heading (<85 days)	G 9 (IBON-HI-24-9), G 117 (IBON-HI-24-117)
Days to maturity (<125 days)	G 93 (IBON-HI-24-93)
Plant height (<%0 am)	G 20 (IBON-HI-24-20), G 47 (IBON-HI-24-47), G 132 (IBON-HI-24-132), G 133
Flait height (<80 cm)	(IBON-HI-24-133)
Spike length (>11.0 cm)	G 103 (IBON-HI-24-103), G 137 (IBON-HI-24-137)
No. of tillers non motor now (> 200)	G1 (IBON-HI-24-1), G2 (IBON-HI-24-2), G7 (IBON-HI-24-7), G10 (IBON-HI-24-10),
No. of there's per meter fow (>200)	G 19 (IBON-HI-24-19), G 78 (IBON-HI-24-78), G 90 (IBON-HI-24-90)
No. of grains non spiles (> 95)	G 30 (IBON-HI-24-30), G 42 (IBON-HI-24-42), G 96 (IBON-HI-24-96), G 111
No. of grains per spike (>83)	(IBON-HI-24-111), G 112 (IBON-HI-24-112)
TGW (>55.0 g)	G 54 (IBON-HI-24-54), G 101 (IBON-HI-24-101)
Crasic sigld (\$ 500 s/slat)	G 30 (IBON-HI-24-30), G 71 (IBON-HI-24-71), G 77 (IBON-HI-24-77), G 108
Grain yield (>500 g/piot)	(IBON-HI-24-108)
Dislessingly isld (> 2200 s/slat)	G 77 (IBON-HI-24-77), G 32 (IBON-HI-24-32), G 50 (IBON-HI-24-50), G 97
Biological yield (>2200 g/piot)	(IBON-HI-24-97)
Harvest index (>40%)	G 19 (IBON-HI-24-19), G 61 (IBON-HI-24-61)

with longest spikes. Several studies have also been conducted for assessment of genetic diversity in barley based on different agro-morphological characters for selecting genetically diverse genotypes for hybridization (Kaur *et al.*, 2018; Kumar *et al.*, 2024). The diverse and superior genotypes with desirable traits selected from the material tested are represented in Table 7. The genotypes G 30, G 108, G 77 and G 71 were found most promising and diverse for grain yield whereas, G 77, G 50, G 97 and G 32 performed better for biological yield. Similarly, the most diverse and desirable genotypes for 1000-grain weight and harvest index were G 101 and G 19, respectively.

Conclusion

The current study indicated existence of high genetic diversity among the exotic barley genotypes evaluated that may be exploited for development of potential genotype through suitable parental combinations. The association study reflected significant positive correlation of grain yield with plant height, number of grains per spike, biological yield and harvest index, could be considered as key components for yield determination. First four components identified by Principal Component Analysis cumulatively explained 72.637 percent of the total variation. The cluster analysis classified the genotypes into eight clusters of which the genotypes of cluster V characterized by higher grain yield and harvest index with long spikes, resultantly could be utilized as elite donor for barley improvement breeding. Among all genotypes, the most promising and diverse genotypes for grain yield were G 30, G 108, G 77 and G 71 while the genotypes, G 77, G 50, G 97 and G 32 performed better for biological yield. Multivariate with biplot analysis proved to be appropriate for diversity analysis intended to select the promising diverse genotypes for genetic improvement irrespective of crops.

Acknowledgement

The authors' expresses sincere gratitude to Head, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125004 for providing research facilities to carry out the present study. The authors are also grateful to Director, Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research, Karnal for providing the experimental material received from ICARDA.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

Abdullah, Akbar, M., Subhani M.G., Ahmad J. and Anwar J. (2018). Multivariate analysis of some yield and yield related traits of barley (*Hordeum vulgare L.*) genotypes. J. Agric. Res., 6(7), 189-197.

- Aklilu, E., Dejene T. and Worede F. (2020). Genotypic and phenotypic correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield related traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) landraces in North Gondar, Ethiopia. *Ind.J. Pure Appl. Biosci.*, 8(3), 24-36.
- Amezrou, R., Gyawali S., Belqadi L., Chao S., Arbaoui M., Mamidi S., Rehman S., Sreedasyam A. and Verma R.P.S. (2018). Molecular and phenotypic diversity of ICARDA spring barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) collection. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.*, 65, 255-269.
- Angassa, D. and Mohammed J. (2021). Genetic diversity assessment of Ethiopian landrace barley (*Hordeum vulgare* (L.) genotypes through multivariate analysis. *American J. Biol. Environ. Stat.*, **7**(**3**), 67-73.
- Ashok Kumar, Y. and Sachin (2024). Assessment of morphophysiological traits and their causal relationships in barley genotypes under different sowing conditions. *Forage Res.*, **49(4)**, 394-403.
- Bhandari, H.R., Bhanu A.N., Srivastava K. and Hemantaranjan A. (2017). Assessment of genetic diversity in crop plants: An overview. Adv. Plants Agric. Res., 7(3), 279-286.
- Hailu, A., Alamerew S., Nigussie M. and Assefa E. (2016). Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield and yield associated traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare L.*) germplasm. Adv. Crop Sci. Tech., 4(2), 100216.
- Han, J., Kamber M. and Pei J. (2012). 10-cluster analysis: Basic Concepts and Methods. In: Data mining (443-495). Morgan Kaufmann. 10.1016/B978-0-12-381479-1.00010-1.
- ICAR-IIWBR (2023). Director's report of AICRP on Wheat and Barley 2022-23, Ed: Gyanendra Singh. ICAR-Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research, Karnal, Haryana, India. 90.
- Jaadi, Z. (2019). A step by step explanation of Principal Component Analysis. Towards Data Science.
- Kaur, S., Kaur, H., Singh, P., Cheema, B.S. and Kumar, V. (2016). Genetic variation and evaluation of exotic barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) genotypes for grain protein content, starch content and agronomic traits. *Elect. J. Plant Breed.*, 7(4), 1114-1121.
- Kaur, V., Kumari J., Manju Jacob S.R. and Panwar B.S. (2018). Genetic diversity of indigenous and exotic germplasm of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) and identification of trait specific superior accessions. *Wheat and Barley Res.*, **10(3)**, 190-197.
- Kumar, Y. and Sehrawat K.D. (2021). *Per se* performance and selection strategies in barley under organic field condition. *Forage Res.*, **46**(4), 325-331.
- Kumar, Y., Bishnoi O.P., Chaurasia H., Sachin and Ashok (2024). Selection strategies for yield enhancement in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Int. J. Environ. Agri. Biotech.*, 9(2), 18-23.
- Manhas, N. and Kashyap S.C. (2023). Correlation and path analysis in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) for yield and contributing traits under varied conditions. J. Cereal Res., **15(3)**, 357-364.
- Saroei, E., Cheghamirza K. and Zarei L. (2017). Genetic diversity of characteristics in barley cultivars. *Genetika*, **49(2)**, 495-510.